



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/378,526	08/20/1999	STEPHEN IREMONGER	CLARP026/P24	9248
22434	7590	09/20/2004		
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 778			YUAN, ALMARI ROMERO	
BERKELEY, CA 94704-0778			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2176	

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Advisory Action</b>	Application No. 09/378,526	Applicant(s) IREMONGER ET AL.
	Examiner Almari Yuan	Art Unit 2176

*--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --*

THE REPLY FILED 26 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]

- a)  The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  
ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

1.  A Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.

2.  The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:

- (a)  they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
- (b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
- (c)  they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
- (d)  they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: \_\_\_\_\_

3.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): \_\_\_\_\_.

4.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

5.  The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: *See Continuation Sheet*.

6.  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.

7.  For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) rejected: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_.

8.  The drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_

10.  Other: \_\_\_\_\_

JOSEPH FIELD

**BEST AVAILABLE COPY** **SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments regarding the art rejections of all claims have been carefully considered but they are not persuasive. Wright does disclose "automatically generating the script when it is determined during the interview sequence", on col. 9, lines 11-26 and lines 60-60 and col. 14, lines 53-65 teaches the user can select Script from the Design Menu (interview sequence) to automatically use the script to fill in the form; in other words, unless the Script command is activated by user selection the script won't automatically fill in a form. Furthermore, Wright discloses on col. 13, lines 39-42 that the script running under the forms engine can control the form execution to automatically guide a user through the entire form (report). Meadhra does disclose "leading grand total, leading sub-summary, trailing sub-summary, and trailing grand total", on page 246, see Figure 15-9 shows a report can include more than one Leading summary, Body, and the Trailing summary right next to the Totals, in other words, if the report has more than one summaries, these summaries can be also considered as sub-summaries because they are below the first Leading Summary. The prefix "sub-" can be interpreted as below or under. Therefore, the Examiner maintains the rejections set forth in the Office Action mailed on 5/06/04.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY